NOV 0 5 2004
In re A

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application

Inventors:

Daryl B. Olander, Richard Feit, Edward K.O'Neil,

Timothy Breeden, Sathyanarayana Giridhar, Jason Howes, Douglas Dew, Thomas A. Cook, Skip Sauls,

Rodney McCauley, Michael Blevins, John Shafer and

Pal Takacsi-Nagy

Application No.:

10/786,760

Confirm. No.:

2870

Filed:

February 25, 2004

Title:

SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR IMPLEMENTING

**BUSINESS PROCESSES IN A PORTAL** 

PATENT APPLICATION

Art Unit: 3621

Customer No.: 23910

(Signature)

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.8** 

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited in the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for

Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on November 2, 2004

Bruce Ponte

Signature Date: November 2, 2004

vanila n 2 2004

## REQUEST FOR CORRECTED FILING RECEIPT

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Applicant respectfully requests a corrected filing receipt to correct Domestic Priority Data as claimed in the specification as filed. A copy of the relevant portions of the specification are attached hereto showing the correct data. The Patent Office included cross-referenced applications in the claim of domestic priority on the filing receipt. A copy of the original filing receipt with the requested changes marked on it is attached hereto.

There is no fee due for this request. However, the Commissioner is authorized to charge any fees or credit any over payments to deposit account no. 06-1325.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: November 2, 2004

Daniel J. Burns

Reg. No. 50,222

FLIESLER MEYER LLP

Four Embarcadero Center, Fourth Floor San Francisco, California 94111-4156 Telephone (415) 362-3800

Attorney Docket No.: BEAS-01405US1 SRM/DJB djb/beas1405US1/corrected rec.wpd



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

NOV 0 5 2004

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Dox 1450 Alexandris, Vigónia 22313-1450 www.usplu.gov

FILING OR 371 APPL NO. ART UNIT FIL FEE REC'D ATTY.DOCKET NO DRAWINGS TOT CLMS IND CLMS (c) DATE 10/786,760 <sup>1</sup>02/25/2004 <sup>1</sup> 3621 1054 BEAS-01405US1 SRM/DJB

**CONFIRMATION NO. 2870** 

23910

FLIESLER MEYER, LLP

FOUR EMBARCADERO CENTER

SUITE 400

SAN FRANCISCO, CA 941/10

RECEIVED

MAY **1 9** 2004

JESLER MEYER LLP

FILING RECEIPT

\*OC000000012684518\*

Date Mailed: 05/17/2004

Receipt is acknowledged of this regular Patent Application. It will be considered in its order and you will be notified as to the results of the examination. Be sure to provide the U.S. APPLICATION NUMBER, FILING DATE, NAME OF APPLICANT, and TITLE OF INVENTION when inquiring about this application. Fees transmitted by check or draft are subject to collection. Please verify the accuracy of the data presented on this receipt. If an error is noted on this Filing Receipt, please write to the Office of Initial Patent Examination's Filing Receipt Corrections, facsimile number 703-746-9195. Please provide a copy of this Filing Receipt with the changes noted thereon. If you received a "Notice to File Missing Parts" for this application, please submit any corrections to this Filing Receipt with your reply to the Notice. When the USPTO processes the reply to the Notice, the USPTO will generate another Filing Receipt incorporating the requested corrections (if appropriate).

# Applicant(s)

Daryl B. Olander, Residence Not Provided; Richard Feit, Residence Not Provided: Edward O'Neil, Residence Not Provided; Sathyanarayana Giridhar, Residence Not Provided; Jason Howes, Residence Not Provided; Douglas Dew, Residence Not Provided; Thomas A. Cook, Residence Not Provided; Skip Sauls, Residence Not Provided; Rodney McCauley, Residence Not Provided: Michael Blevins, Residence Not Provided; John Shafer, Residence Not Provided: Pal Takacsi-Nagy, Residence Not Provided;

# Domestic Priority data as claimed by applicant

This appln claims benefit of 60/451,348\_02/28/2003 and claims benefit of 60/450.074 02/25/2003 and claims benefit of 60/450.061 02/25/2003 and claims benefit of 60/450,516 02/26/2003 and claims benefit of 60/451,352 02/28/2003 and claims benefit of 60/450,226 02/25/2003

Foreign Applications

If Required, Foreign Filing License Granted: 05/15/2004

Fliesler, Meyer LLP

BEAG

Action Item:

Date Due: . Critical Date. April

Attorney Path: Docketed By:

Verified By:

Projected Publication Date: To Be Determined - pending completion of Missing Parts

Non-Publication Request: No

Early Publication Request: No

Title

System and method for implementing business processes in a portal

**Preliminary Class** 

705

# LICENSE FOR FOREIGN FILING UNDER Title 35, United States Code, Section 184 Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, 5.11 & 5.15

#### **GRANTED**

The applicant has been granted a license under 35 U.S.C. 184, if the phrase "IF REQUIRED, FOREIGN FILING LICENSE GRANTED" followed by a date appears on this form. Such licenses are issued in all applications where the conditions for issuance of a license have been met, regardless of whether or not a license may be required as set forth in 37 CFR 5.15. The scope and limitations of this license are set forth in 37 CFR 5.15(a) unless an earlier license has been issued under 37 CFR 5.15(b). The license is subject to revocation upon written notification. The date indicated is the effective date of the license, unless an earlier license of similar scope has been granted under 37 CFR 5.13 or 5.14.

This license is to be retained by the licensee and may be used at any time on or after the effective date thereof unless it is revoked. This license is automatically transferred to any related applications(s) filed under 37 CFR 1.53(d). This license is not retroactive.

The grant of a license does not in any way lessen the responsibility of a licensee for the security of the subject matter as imposed by any Government contract or the provisions of existing laws relating to espionage and the national security or the export of technical data. Licensees should apprise themselves of current regulations especially with respect to certain countries, of other agencies, particularly the Office of Defense Trade Controls, Department of State (with respect to Arms, Munitions and Implements of War (22 CFR 121-128)); the Office of Export Administration, Department of Commerce (15 CFR 370.10 (j)); the Office of Foreign Assets Control, Department of Treasury (31 CFR Parts 500+) and the Department of Energy.

#### **NOT GRANTED**

No license under 35 U.S.C. 184 has been granted at this time, if the phrase "IF REQUIRED, FOREIGN FILING LICENSE GRANTED" DOES NOT appear on this form. Applicant may still petition for a license under 37 CFR 5.12, if a license is desired before the expiration of 6 months from the filing date of the application. If 6 months has lapsed from the filing date of this application and the licensee has not received any indication of a secrecy order under 35 U.S.C. 181, the licensee may foreign file the application pursuant to 37 CFR 5.15(b).

SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR IMPLEMENTING BUSINESS
PROCESSES IN A PORTAL

1

IOV 0 5 20C4

## Inventors:

Daryl B. Olander
Richard Feit
Edward O'Neil
Sathyanarayana Giridhar
Jason Howes
Douglas Dew
Thomas A. Cook
Skip Sauls
Rodney McCauley
Michael Blevins
John Shafer
Pal Takacsi-Nagy

# **COPYRIGHT NOTICE**

[0001] A portion of the disclosure of this patent document contains material which is subject to copyright protection. The copyright owner has no objection to the facsimile reproduction by anyone of the patent document or the patent disclosure, as it appears in the Patent and Trademark Office patent file or records, but otherwise reserves all copyright rights whatsoever.

## CLAIM OF PRIORITY

[0002] This application claims priority from the following application, which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety:

[0003] SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR IMPLEMENTING BUSINESS PROCESSES IN A PORTAL, U.S. Application No., 60/451,348; Inventors: Daryl Olander et al., filed on February 28, 2003. (Attorney's Docket No. BEAS-1405USO)

## CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

[0004] This application is related to the following co-pending applications which are each hereby incorporated by reference in their entirety:

[0005] OPEN MARKET COLLABORATION SYSTEM FOR ENTERPRISE WIDE ELECTRONIC COMMERCE, U.S. Application No.

60/183,067, Inventors: Rocky Stewart, et al., filed on February 16, 2000. (Attorney's Docket No. BEAS-1033US1)

[0006] SYSTEMS AND METHODS UTILIZING A WORKFLOW DEFINITION LANGUAGE, U.S. Application No. 60/450,074, Inventor: Pal Takacsi-Nagy, filed on February 25, 2003. (Attorney's Docket No. BEAS-1389US0) [0007] SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR CLIENT-SIDE FILTERING OF SUBSCRIBED MESSAGES, U.S. Application No. 60/450,061, Inventors: Mike Blevins, et al., filed on February 25, 2003. (Attorney's Docket No. BEAS-1390US0) [0008] SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR DYNAMIC DATA BINDING IN DISTRIBUTED APPLICATIONS, U.S. Application No. 60/450,516, Inventor: Edward O'Neil, filed on February 26, 2003. (Attorney's Docket No. BEAS-

[0009] SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR AN EXTENSIBLE CONTROLS ENVIRONMENT, U.S. Application No. 60/451,352, Inventors: Kyle Marvin, et al., filed on February 28, 2003. (Attorney's Docket No. BEAS-1444US0)

[0010] SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR STRUCTURING DISTRIBUTED APPLICATIONS, U.S. Application No. 60/450,226, Inventors: Daryl Olander, et al., filed on February 25, 2003. (Attorney's Docket No. BEAS-1402US0)

[0011] REUSABLE SOFTWARE CONTROLS, U.S. Application No.
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_, Inventors: Kyle Marvin et al., filed on February 17, 2004.
(Attorney's Docket No. BEAS-1354US0)

## FIELD OF THE DISCLOSURE

[0012] The present invention disclosure relates to a system and method for implementing business processes in a web portal.

#### BACKGROUND

[0013] Information technology (IT) systems are often implemented as standalone systems, each addressing particular business issues. Enterprises are faced with the task of integrating these disparate systems. Traditionally, islands of individual applications that needed to share data have been integrated in an ad hoc manner, using homegrown integration. Hard-coded and point-to-point solutions attempt to address the problem but instead can create an IT nightmare of innumerable

1448US0)